

### **REMARKS**

The present Supplemental Amendment and Remarks are in response to the Final Office Action entered in the above identified case and mailed on June 10, 2009. Claims 1-34, 36 and 38-42 are pending in the application. Claims 1-14, 17 and 18 stand rejected under 35. U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,421,571 to Spriggs et al. (Hereafter, Spriggs). Claims 15 and 16 were objected to as being dependent on a rejected base claim, but the Examiner has indicated that these claims would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 19-34, 36 and 38-42 have been allowed.

With this amendment Applicants have canceled claims 1-14 and amended claims 15 and 16. Claims 15 and 16 are now written in independent form and include the limitations of canceled claim 1 from which they originally depended. With these changes Applicants respectfully submit that all of the remaining claims are now in condition for allowance.

Dated: November 10, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

By: /Jeffrey H. Canfield #38,404/

Jeffrey H. Canfield

Registration No.: 38,404

MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP

233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6300

Sears Tower

Chicago, Illinois 60606-6357

(312) 474-6300

Attorney for Applicant